F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, February 16, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	ON HERE OF MANDOLLI, AND OFFICIAL COOK! REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

```
(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
1
2
     observed.)
3
                       MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
                       MR. PERRY: Here.
5
6
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7
                       MR. ROGAN: Here.
8
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9
                       MR. EVANS: Here.
10
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11
                       MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13
                       MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with
14
               the reading of the minutes.
                       MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15
               MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION
16
               COMMISSION MEETING HELD JANUARY 18, 2017.
17
                       MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
18
               comments? If not, received and filed.
19
                       MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
20
21
               NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
               HELD JANUARY 18, 2017.
22
23
                       MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
               comments? If not, received and filed.
24
                       MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
25
```

SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING 1 2 HELD JANUARY 18, 2017. MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 3 comments? If not, received and filed. 4 MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE 5 COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JANUARY 6 18, 2017. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 9 comments? If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE 10 NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING 11 HELD FEBRUARY 15, 2017. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 13 14 comments? If not, received and filed. Do any council members have 15 16 announcements at this time? 17 MR. PERRY: Yes, I have two 18 announcements. The NEPA Emerald Society is 19 going to have their annual St. Patrick's Day 20 gathering on Friday, March 3. It's going to 21 be held at the FOP, Lodge No. 2, which is 22 401 Railroad Avenue in Scranton. It's going 23 to start at 6 and it's going to end at 10 24 p.m. There will be Irish step dancers, 25 bagpippers, Dan the Piano Man, there will be

plenty of entertainment. The cost is \$30 a person, which includes dinner which is catered by Castaldi's market. It's a BYOB event. There are tickets at the door or you can contact Mauri at 570-604-3605.

And secondly, the NEPA Emerald

Society a having a second event, this one is going to be at the South Side Bowl, which is 125 Meade Street, and that's going to be this Saturday, February 18. Registration starts at noon, bowling starts at 12:30.

The cost is \$20 a bowler, and that's going to include two games and rentals. All ages are welcome. You can sign up as individuals or bring a team. Again, if you want more information you can contact Mauri Walsh at 570-604-3605. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Friday, February 17, is winter in the city. It will be held at Posh from 5:30 to 8 p.m. Tickets are \$20 at the door and proceeds benefit the projects of Scranton Tomorrow.

This Monday, February 20, City hall will be closed in observance of President's Day, but the DPW will be working on Monday.

Refuse and recycling collections will on schedule next week. In addition, all Colts buses will be running on the regular schedule on Monday.

And just one announcement before our meeting starts, as you are aware we did receive answers from Sewer Authority
Director Shrive last night answering questions that counsel had presented in January. As of today, we than Director Shrive for those answers, but we also requested that he attend a public caucus and first date that would be available for a public caucus is February 23.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. Okay, it's 264 days to November 7, the general election, and I'm hoping that we get the Arcadis study on the storm water costs long before that day. 144 days to June 30, which is the deadline for the 2016 audit. Hopefully, that will be on time.

The hearing on the LST tax, which occurred this past Monday, has anybody got any sensing when the Court might issue it's decision?

MR. WECHSLER: No.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Yeah, that could be worrisome because times see things hang on for a long time, hopefully it won't. I still think you ought to be thinking and the administration should be thinking of a Plan B if worse comes to worse.

I looked religiously day after day for something on the city council's webpage for the Granicus setup. Is it soup yet?

MR. GAUGHAN: Not soup yet. It should be -- Mrs. Reed met with the IT Department today, and next week should be the week.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. All right, I'll be looking because that would be an ideal place for you to post things which might not necessarily be, you know, Third Order items, but things like Attorney Shrive's answers with regard to the Sewer Authority sale, because I think that the

public has a right to see that information and then we can decide whether or not it's credible on whether or not there is more questions to be asked. So hopefully when you get that thing up and running next week see if you can put those answers on, that would be nice.

The RFP for the third party administrator of the pension funds, still on the pipeline?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Yesterday I went to all of the Pension Board meetings and I asked each one of the boards the same question, has there been any dialogue between the boards and the administration in regard to that RFP, because it seems to me that the Pension Boards have a bit of expertise in that area, and you should take a look what they are putting out for a scope of work, but all boards told me the same thing, they haven't been contacted, so it looks like it's going to be the administration's call on what they want done and I'm not sure if that's going to answer

all of the problems we have with pensions.

You realize, we are still waiting for a

decision on the double pensions. It's been
what, five months since the last hearings?

Waiting on that.

Item 5-C, if you could during motions explain exactly what the cooperation agreement is between the University of Scranton and the city. Are we going to have interns at the Police Department, are police officers going to be taking courses at the University, exactly what are we talking about. I looked at the backup and the backup did not give a whole lot of insight on that. I'm totally in favor of any kind of partnership with any of the Universities of the city, but I'd like to know exactly what we are talking about here.

MR. PERRY: I'll explain a little bit about that in Fifth, but basically what it is it's an exchange of information and more or less just a community upkeep program informing, just getting the students and the police on the same page and also the law enforcement for the University of Scranton.

1 It's an information exchange. 2 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, so there 3 won't be any interns down there and University isn't offering free tuition to 4 the police officers? 5 MR. PERRY: There will be no 6 financial exchange whatsoever on either 7 8 side. 9 MS. HODOWANITZ: That's too bad. 10 There are areas that they could expand that. 11 The repair of the Fire Department headquarters next door, that projected 12 \$160,000, do we still have that within that 13 14 \$1.8 million from the parking sale in proceeds? Nobody knows? Okay. All right, 15 16 I'll be back next week. Thank you. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus. 18 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. 19 Do you know when you are going to find out 20 when the money from the Sewer Authority is 21 going to be disbursed? MS. WECHSLER: As soon as possible. 22 23 MS. FRANUS: And you, most of you, 24 will you be approving whatever the mayor 25 wants on this?

MR. WECHSLER: It will be decided by
the majority of council.
MS. FRANUS: Okay. Mr. Evans, last
week you told Marie Schumacher about the
disbursements.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. FRANUS: But you didn't give her
any disbursements.
MR. EVANS: Yes, I did.
MS. FRANUS: I asked
MR. EVANS: Go ahead.
MS. FRANUS what were the
disbursements from the garbage fee
MR. EVANS: I'll read the answer
again.
MS. FRANUS: No, no.
MR. EVANS: Well
MS. FRANUS: No, I know what the
answer was you Bulzoni told you that it goes
into the general fund.
MR. EVANS: After the checks clear,
it goes into the general fund.
MS. FRANUS: Yeah, but I want to
know what accounts?
MR. EVANS: Well, we had a

discussion in caucus this evening about that, because we are getting more information, but I was advised by our solicitor as well as the city solicitor that we shouldn't discuss that because of the Court case.

MS. FRANUS: Oh, that's a good one.

 $\label{eq:MR.EVANS: Well, I'm only going by} % \begin{center} \b$

MS. FRANUS: That's a cop out.

 $\label{eq:MR.EVANS: Well, I'm only doing} % \begin{center} \begi$

MS. FRANUS: Because last week you didn't know, but you still didn't give the answers.

MR. EVANS: It's not a cop out.

MS. FRANUS: Wayne, it is. Two weeks ago when I asked this question you weren't advised by lawyers not to say anything and two weeks ago and last week I asked you to find out and you told Marie, you didn't give her one disbursement that -- asked and answered, right, Mr. Evans? Asked and answered.

MR. EVANS: I gave --

1	MS. FRANUS: Asked and not answered
2	again just like two years of not answering
3	me.
4	MR. EVANS: Fay, you are asking
5	MS. FRANUS: Wayne
6	MR. EVANS: You are yelling, not
7	screaming.
8	MS. FRANUS: I'm sorry. Let me go
9	like this. Wayne, I asked you to get
10	disbursements and you didn't on purpose.
11	You could have, but you chose not to.
12	MR. EVANS: So, I didn't give her
13	the answer on purpose. That's what you are
14	saying.
15	MS. FRANUS: Well, if you went to
16	Mr. Bulzoni and asked
17	MR. EVANS: I asked Mr. Bulzoni and
18	that's the answer he gave me.
19	MS. FRANUS: And you didn't say,
20	"Well, that's not the answer. That's not
21	what the disbursement is"?
22	MR. EVANS: Fay, the money goes from
23	the
24	MS. FRANUS: I know what it does.
25	MR. EVANS: a single account and

1	it gets disbursed into the general fund.
2	MS. FRANUS: In what departments?
3	What accounts in that department?
4	MR. EVANS: In the general fund. It
5	goes into the general fund.
6	MS. FRANUS: The general fund is all
7	different accounts. I want to know what
8	accounts the money goes to.
9	MR. EVANS: Again, I asked my
10	solicitor this evening and
11	MS. FRANUS: And don't answer that
12	question. Isn't that convenient.
13	MR. EVANS: We are not able to
14	answer that question.
15	MS. FRANUS: Isn't that convenience.
16	Yet, two weeks when I asked that question,
17	that wasn't told to you, to keep it quiet so
18	you just didn't do it again on purpose.
19	MR. EVANS: I don't want to keep
20	quiet, Fay, that's ridiculous. You know
21	better.
22	MS. FRANUS: No, it's not. I don't
23	know better because I know you for the last
24	20
25	MR. EVANS: That's really, you know,

1	uncalled for and you should be
2	MS. FRANUS: You want to keep
3	talking to me, talking over me?
4	MR. EVANS: You have known me longer
5	than that.
6	MS. FRANUS: It's my turn to talk.
7	MR. EVANS: Go ahead.
8	MS. FRANUS: You haven't answered
9	any questions regarding the garbage fee for
10	the last two years on purpose. You could
11	have gotten the information in three seconds
12	and you chose not to. That's very apparent.
13	MR. EVANS: That's not apparent at
14	all. That's what I got you.
15	MS. FRANUS: When I get no answers
16	for two years it's very apparent.
17	MR. EVANS: No, Fay, I have given
18	you answers, but you don't like the answers,
19	so therefore
20	MS. FRANUS: Okay, name one
21	disbursement that it went to?
22	MR. EVANS: Again, I told you it
23	goes into the general fund.
24	MS. FRANUS: The general there is
25	7.000 accounts in the general fund. which

1 once did it go into? 2 MR. EVANS: Including the general 3 fund ledger. MS. FRANUS: Here we go. Everybody 4 5 just listen to this, listen to this spin. Mr. Evans' constant spin. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Fay, as you know, we are 8 9 MS. FRANUS: Wayne, I have other 10 things I want to talk about. 11 MR. EVANS: The Business 12 Administrator is the executive branch. Ι 13 asked the Business Administrator, that was 14 the answer he gave me. MS. FRANUS: And that was good 15 16 enough for you? 17 MR. EVANS: Under the circumstances of the Court case, that was good enough for 18 19 me right now. 20 MS. FRANUS: Two weeks ago you 21 weren't told that and you still didn't get 22 People just need to know that the answer. 23 you deliberately do not give answers about 24 the garbage fee because you know they know 25 the truth. You even said yourself it's too

much money. We should be getting this money back.

Now, back to Billy Courtright, how come you on council aren't doing anything as far as forcing him to help the people in the City of Scranton, like the people in Greenridge, and how about the people in Greenridge that have a hole in the yard, the Youngs. There is money in the budget, the emergency fund, why isn't that money being spent to fix their yard? The Meadow Brook Creek belongs to the city so that -- and they are saying the city is saying it doesn't that's a lie.

MR. WECHSLER: The reason why nothing is happening they can't get an agreement between Mr. Young and the city to get people on his property. That's what the hold up is right now.

MS. FRANUS: Well, that's not necessarily true.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Young is trying to protect his property rights.

MS. FRANUS: Mr. Young would like the city to take care of this, I'm sure.

MR. WECHSLER: He also would like to 1 2 have protection for himself on his property. 3 That's what the holdup is right now. MS. FRANUS: Well, I'll check into 4 5 that. MR. WECHSLER: Ask Mr. Young, he 6 7 will tell you. MS. FRANUS: I will. I will, 8 9 because I don't know all of the facts, but I 10 just want that Meadow Brook Creek does 11 belong to the city. 12 MR. WECHSLER: We made arrangements 13 to have an emergency declared, the money was 14 set aside and right now we can't get the two 15 parties together to agree on how we can get 16 equipment on that property. 17 MS. FRANUS: And I'm sure the Youngs 18 know what you're talking about. 19 MR. WECHSLER: I agree with you. 20 Yes, they do. 21 MS. FRANUS: And I'm sure whatever 22 they are saying is pretty fair and I'm sure 23 the city, what Mayor Courtright is saying, 24 is not fair. And I'd like to know how come 25 you, Mr. Gaughan, is the only one that

speaks the truth about Mayor Courtright?

Mr. Evans, you don't. Mr. Wechsler, you
don't. Mr. Rogan, you don't. Tim, you
never say too much.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. FRANUS: Bill, if you'd get a chance, I'd like the answer to that question some time and I appreciate all the things that you say.

MR. WECHSLER: Christine Patrick.

MS. PATRICK: Hi. My name is

Christine Patrick and I have been working in pediatrics at Geisinger Community Medical

Center for 11 1/2 years. I'm here tonight because I want to share some deep concerns about staffing at CMC and how this impacts the great Scranton community. I'm in the nurses' union and we have been fighting for improved staffing at CMC, but the management has refused to fix this problem.

Right now we are facing a staffing crises at our hospital. We never have enough nurses to be able to do our jobs and provide the patients with the care that they deserve. This is a life or death situation.

In the emergency room, nurses are taking up to six patients. The standard is three patients. This leads to medical errors, falls, injuries, and an incredibly dangerous environment for our patients. There is a wealth of research that shows safe staffing levels leads to less injuries, less mistakes, shorter stays and less staffs. I want to be able to do everything needed for my patients. Every nurse at CMC could tell you a horror story of being assigned too many patient. Mistakes get made, patients get injured and people die.

admitted to CMC, I don't think this will come as a surprise. You face incredibly long waits and your nurse barely has time to spend at your bedside. I want to become a nurse to help me. I love nursing and I want to be able to provide the best care possible for my patients, but when I have too many patients it's impossible to provide that level of care. We are so short staffed that management has been illegally mandating nurses to stay way past their shifts. That

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

means that a nurse who has already worked her eight or 12-hour shift, often with no breaks, is forced to stay another few hours. It's absolutely illegal to mandate nurses to stay past their shift, but Geisinger is doing it anyway. Do you want your nurse to be on their 14th hour with no real break? I wouldn't wanted want my family member to be treated that way and every single patient at CMC deserves better.

I'm here to ask for the support of city council and the community. Safe staffing levels impact all of Scranton and I believe that Scranton deserves better. family members deserve the best care possible when they come to CMC. That's why we are asking the city council for a resolution in support of the safe staffing at CMC and we are inviting members of the council to attend and speak at our Safe Staffing Saves Lives press conference on March 1 at 4 p.m. outside of the hospital. Thank you in advance for your support and thank you for everything you do for our community.

ı

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Just one comment, I will definitely speak at that press conference. I have a one-year-old and a three-month-old so I know how important nurses are, and if wasn't for the nurses in that room I would have hit the floor and passed out, but you guys have an important job and thank you for coming and speaking.

MS. PATRICK: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN:

MR. WECHSLER: Gerard Hetman.

Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County
Commissioner's Office. To begin this
evening, the Lackawanna County Department of
Economic Development now has funding
available for winter emergency home repairs.
These repairs covered include window
replacement, roofing work, chimney repair,

plumbing and other services as reviewed upon request. Interested parties must be current on all properties taxes, have a valid homeowners' insurance policy and must meet income eligibility guidelines.

For more information contact Laura

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in our Department of Economic Development at 570-963-6830, extension 1373.

Second, the Penn State Extension is now offering their Food Safety Certification course for food service workers. Classes are held at Penn State Worthington Scranton on February 23 and March 2. Successfully passing the course, meets the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture's requirements for food safety certification. The cost is \$175. Registration deadline is tomorrow, February 17. You can register online at www.foodsafety.psu.edu or call Nicole at 570-421-6430 or e-mail Nicole at MND5140@psu.edu.

Agency on Aging is offering assistance with completing the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue's property tax and rent rebate forms. To qualify, seniors must be age 65 or older. Widows or widowers must be age 50 or older, and persons with disabilities age 18 or older. Income limits are \$35,000 for homeowners and \$15,000 for renters to qualify for assistance with the rebate

2

4

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forms. To learn more, contact Howard McIntosh at 570-290-0578 or 570-961-1950.

And last, but not least, just a reminder while we still have snow and if we get any more snow during this winter season our sledding hill at McDade Park is open to the public for use and this is an area that we have had set up I think the fourth year running that we have this place. past, folks used to about to McDade Park and if you go to the area on the left as you drive through after the turn for the coal mine tour and the anthracite museum just in back of the 9/11 memorial there is that big hill people just to sled down there and it was prohibited in the past, but for several years running we have built I guess you would call it a safe area there with barricades and designed engineered to offer save sledding. So it's open for public use during regular park hours, dawn to dusk, seven days a week, we just ask that visitors obey all posted park rules when they visit to do their sledding. That's all we have for tonight. Thank you.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. The first thing I have here I appreciate these nurses coming in here today. know, I lost one of my children to medical malpractice and I do know that the health care system is broken in this country, but I guess you can't expect politicians to make any changes because I don't think they see reality and we have a lot of problems in this country but for some reason, I don't know, I just think we elect the wrong people and, you know, I'm really troubled about the upcoming election because we definitely need a replacement for every office, the councilmen and the mayor and the City of Scranton. It's just mind boggling the stuff that takes place here. I find the whole system to be corrupt. That's my opinion.

I have come here a long time, but the most troubling thing is how scared the people are of their own government and they aren't willing to throw these people off us because, you know, for a city to be in

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

distressed status for over 25 years it's mind boggling, and for the debt the city has incurred and the lack of leadership this city has and the decline it has gone through and all of the millions and millions of dollars pumped in the downtown, now we've got a new partner for the downtown and, you know, the truth of the matter is that we have gone through such a steep population decline and the people we elect just can't seem to get it that's it's not important what kind of suit you wear or blouse, it's what's going on in your head and whether you respect the people who elect you or you don't, and there is no answers coming from this council and, Mr. Wechsler, you know, I don't know if arrogance is your major thing in life or just total disrespect for the people who have elected you, but it's very apparent that the rest of the council agrees with your demeanor, and I don't know, I find it very troubling.

I find it troubling that we have sold off the Sewer Authority and I think the people in the city don't really realize how

bad a decision that really was, and for Mr. Evans to be a businessman and to have signed onto that and for the council president to not agree with it and yet to introduce it is troubling.

But, you know, the other thing I have here is that, you know, we have a Sewer Authority, we have a director of the Sewer Authority, I just really can't see any need for that because all of the debts and everything that the Sewer Authority was responsible for comes now to the city so I just don't understand why his position even is there. And as far as the board itself, I can't see that either. I mean, it's just ridiculous. It's just another frivolous waste of money. It's just -- it's really unbelievable.

And, you know, the LST tax and they brought that judge here for a reason, okay, and I really think that if goes the wrong way there has to be an appeal done in the higher court because in the end the high court is going to have to overturn the lower court because law is going to have to stand

somewhere, and for the city to keep just pounding the hell of the residents in one layer of taxation after another, I mean, the residents really haven't had an opportunity to understand just what's going to happen with the storm water collection system and for the council to allow the sale of an authority without removing the funds necessary from the rate to maintain the storm system, even though it was built into the rate, just goes to prove how incompetent Scranton's elected government is because the money to maintain the storm water collection system was built into that rate and we just keep chasing silly, silly things.

We are going to take over the Army reserve center. I really think the city should really look into selling city hall. Downsizing government to a level that's almost beyond the comprehension of the average Scrantonian because we have a very, very, very serious debt problem and we need remove the mayor, the council, and every elected official, and it's not going to happen overnight, but something has got to

24

25

happen in this city before there is nothing left and really there is nothing left now, and the retirees that just lost this hearing in Court they need to appeal because somebody needs to ask what responsibility did the city have to make sure that those funds were there and it was solvent. That's a question.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Ιn yesterday's paper I noticed an obituary for a local gentleman that was formally in the That during World War II was Flying Tigers. a limited the elite of the elite. very fortunate to have known one for years. I serviced his swimming pool in Los Angeles, Robert Prescott, who was at this time was president of the Flying Tigers airlines, but you people are not going to believe this but I'm telling you the absolute truth that man had an unbelievable hatred for me. He would go into a rage and he hated me so much he wouldn't fire me. This went on for years. I don't know how I got under his skin so

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

much. Well, enough for Robert Prescott.

Allow me to say something about our esteemed newspaper, letters to the editor that I read every day from Patrick McKenna's I guess responsibility. I feel about him like Robert Prescott felt about me. seems this newspaper just can't wait to print the repetitive, hate-filled, extreme leftist agenda week after week. Ever dav it's in there one or the other, writes the same stuff over and over. They just won't let go. Just a bunch of losers, but yesterday, I believe it was yesterday it was -- to me it was a very disturbing letter comparing our president to Adolph Hitler. I didn't vote for Obama and I didn't like him, but when he as elected I always respected him as our president, and I'd feel the same way if someone had said the same thing about Mr. Obama. You don't compare an elected leader to Adolph Hitler. I don't care what party he is in, I don't care who it is. That we have a bunch of over-the-hill has beens yelling for the White House to be bombed. It just doesn't seem to stop, it

seems to get worse. There is people are protesting, they're rioting. Those fools in California, my cousin teaches at the University in Berkley. They burned down their library. Now the state is going to have to build a library. What's sense is there in that? And that's what they -- that's how they their brain seems to go.

I think it was completely unnecessary for the Times to print such useless dribble from some loser that just won't give up that his agenda and just keeps going against the government. I have some other things to say, but I don't need to alienate anybody else, I guess. This was a -- I have talked to so many people that have told me they mailed in intelligent written letters that are never printed. You phone, "Where is my letter?"

"Oh, we must have lost it or misplaced it."

That's censoring. Censoring is the direct enemy of a newspaper and that's what Mr. McKenna does over and over. I got into some words with him when they were building

8

9

7

6

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the high school. He told me never submit another letter. That's censoring me. He is afraid of my letters, this has been going on what 15 years since high school? That's why I don't understand it, but I have written letters that he has caught. What are you going to do? Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, well, the Sewer Authority did make the headline costs of the lawyers but people don't realize that money is coming into the city, but yet they turn their back on the Parking Authority. That money is going out from the city, and I estimate it to be close to \$200 million we lost on this deal. First of all, we gave NDC \$28 million in the form of a lease. That's a lease we are responsible for, and then we arrange for him to borrow on that lease and then we turned around \$30 million added onto that, and then there is the lawyers' costs. Then there is the parking. Parking fees and parking revenue and fines amounted to about \$3,000 a

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year. Over 40 years that's another \$120 million gone down the drain, and then we get into the parking lease of spaces in the parking garage knowing we are ripping spaces down on our existing parking. And then that's \$4.1 million gift, and then we turned around and sold a garage that was built at the same time as the mall for \$1 at cost.

And then the worst part about it, I never found out who was responsible for the \$300,000 a year we have to give to the mall owners. You add them all up and it's over \$200 million lost from the city treasury over the years and this is what they did to us and you cannot sit there and say this was a great deal for the city. Just look at the I don't know how you look at total cost. You must have had blinders on. You had to have blinders on. And then the nerve to say this was the best deal the city can get. What was the other deal that was offered? This is the worst deal the city can get plus it's a nonprofit. I don't know how they can even be a nonprofit. They certainly are going to make money and they sublet it out.

I don't know if the subperson that runs the garage is a nonprofit, no one ever said that. We know NDC is a nonprofit but then they leased it out. Is that lessee a nonprofit, too? We don't know. I won't know until I start looking at the financial reports for people getting elected. That money is going to turn in just like the lighting turned in.

Did we need lighting? Yeah, we did, but not at this time. There is nothing wrong with the sodium vapor lights that we had in. I know they said ten years they'll pay for themselves, but we haven't gotten ten years of financial liability left in the city. What are you going to do? How often are you going to go to the well? There is a limit as to how much water is in the well. I don't know how can do what you did. I don't know how you can sit there and then run on that. I know the family will support you, but the people won't. Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. I'm happy to learn that

it wasn't my error that I could not get the

1 back up to the agenda tonight, and did you say, Mr. Gaughan, that it will be available 2 3 next week? MR. GAUGHAN: That's what the IT 4 5 Department told us, yes. MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, and we 6 7 won't have to download the whole thing 8 because --9 MR. GAUGHAN: No. MS. SCHUMACHER: It will be each 10 item separately? 11 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, it will be 13 similar to the what county does. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. During motions may we all learn what is holding up 15 16 the double pension subpoenas? And a point 17 of clarification, Item 7-A last week, the 18 Serrenti Memorial Army Reserve, are we 19 purchasing the entire approximately almost 20 two acres, 1.93 acres, and if so will we be 21 purchasing that on an as-is basis? 22 MR. EVANS: Well, that wasn't part 23 of the purchase yet, that still hasn't 24 happened yet. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, you

1 authorized something last week. What did 2 you authorize? 3 MR. EVANS: The grant and also the continuation of using the property which 4 5 allows the city to use the property almost like a lease, you know, a dollar lease, but 6 7 the purchase will come down at a later time. 8 MR. ROGAN: The purchase is still 9 with the BRAC Commission with the federal 10 government. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I understand 12 we are not buying it with the Army, yes, 13 okay so we are not buying it we are just 14 going to use it, so it's a rent? MR. EVANS: Yeah. Well. I don't if 15 16 there is even a transaction. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, could you 18 maybe next tell us what the legal agreement is with the BRAC for utilization of that 19 20 property? 21 MR. EVANS: Well, the BRAC --yeah. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, the US Army 23 actually, yes. And, Mr. Evans, also, while 24 I've got you, last week I asked that you go 25 to the Single Tax Office and find out how

much we have received on business privilege and mercantile tax from the all of the bed and breakfasts.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, I didn't get a chance to do that, sorry.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Pardon?

MR. EVANS: I didn't get a chance to get that done yet, I'm sorry.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Would you try again?

MR. EVANS: I won't be here next week, but I'll try and get it for you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Not just the dollars and cents, how many there are and how many are actually paying that is what's desired. While I appreciate in inclusion in the Scranton Sewer Authority questions of the cost and fees, I think you gentlemen missed a lot and I hope that my Right-to-Know request that I submitted last Friday will help to clear up some things unless you can all answer them during motions tonight that would also be time efficient.

There are certain items that have to

be completed before we can shut that baby down and I don't know why you didn't specifically ask. I know some of them are how much -- well, I'll go down the list of what I asked for. The amount of the proceeds from the Scranton Sewer Authority asset sale that have been placed in escrow by account. Do we know how many escrow accounts there are and how much is in each?

MR. EVANS: Well, one account is for \$12 million, that's the account --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, no, I don't mean -- do you have the total list?

MR. EVANS: No, I don't want to -yeah.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, if you want to do it during motions, but yes, if you have that, and I'd like know the amount of the city's share of the asset sale transactions costs? The complete list of the properties with pending rights-of-way settlement that are still open, and then I think that should be with -- in the monthly report how many they have worked off every month or however often you are going to get

reports because those all have to be completed before it can shut down. The list of the open bills at the closing and what is current as of tonight actually. A copy of the written correspondence from the seller to the buyer in compliance with Section 9.03 of the asset purchase agreement, which to notify the buyers of representation of warrantees that have changed from the time that document was signed.

Now, Kara Seitzinger was here last week and she also talked about this, and while we could have done it any time the terms of the agreement were that it be provided to the buyer within three days before the closing, so that should have been what, the 26th of December, so either Mr. Shrive didn't tell his boss, which I find unlikely, or the mayor knew, and I'm rather surprised that all of you when you read through that asset purchase agreement didn't note that and asked to be included as a carbon copy on when those transactions took place because then we would have known what was happening a long time before the

big woopsie. I'll finish up on the list next week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Thank you. Yes. I have a couple of things I just want to touch on, a couple of agenda items real quick, and I have one comment at the end.

5-B, which is coming before us tonight, is Justice Assistance Grant, or the JAG for the smart policing initiative.

That's going to go to Chief Graziano's alternate to arresting addict program, which we touched on, I believe, a couple of weeks ago. The chief was in a caucus and explained to us that there were federal funds available to us to apply for where instead of putting addicts in jail we can get them the help they actually need where they could just sign a waiver to come clean in lieu of an arrest, which I believe that's definitely progressive thinking and the way to go instead of putting addicts in jail

2

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where there is absolutely no help for them we can actually make the steps and get them clean is really what they need.

5-C, which was touched on tonight, just to give a little bit more information on 5-C, which is an agreement between the city and University, I'll just read right here. "The University and the city wish to enter an agreement to foster academic cooperation and exchange of information, scholarship and learning opportunities for students and police officers. Based on principles of mutual benefit, the parties will promote the exchange of information, scholarship and learning opportunities as well as the exchange of faculty and scholars along with other interparty collaborations, including joint research projects, programs, circular development grants and exchange of academic materials."

It's basically what that -- all that sums up to is a cooperative agreement between the police and the university to use the assets that the city has and the university has to make our city safer, and

to get not only our police continued involved in the University, but get the University involved in our city. It's going to be a five-year agreement with the possibility to renew five years after that.

The other thing, 7-D, we had Solicitor Boyles in our office today to talk about Remedy Analytics, which is a company that uses software to help drive down the cost of our prescription costs within the City of Scranton. Now, how the program works this company is going to work for us at zero cost. Their benefit of this any savings we get as the city is going to come back to them with a 15 percent take on the savings that we get. If they don't save us a thing, they don't get paid at all. it's really up to them to do their diligence, use the software that they have and push the savings towards us.

Based on -- they right now they have 60 companies as clients, and based on the numbers that they ran they expect a ballpark figure of 10 percent or 30 percent annual savings on what we have right now and this

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in no way is going to change any of the benefits that we have to our city employees. City employees benefits will not change with the prescription costs, it's just a simple software program that's going to run some algorithms to help us get the very best price of prescriptions around.

The comment I have is for the nurses from CMC that came in, if you want to talk about something that's going to get me involved, well, let's talk about nurses. Μy mother worked as a nurse for the better part of five decades as well as raising of children and let me tell you, I know all about nurses being understaffed, overworked, and they were without a doubt the unsung hero of the medical industry, and I don't think there is anybody here that's going to disagree with that. When you go in the hospital who is the first person you see? Who is the last person you see? It's the nurses. Who are those that do follow-up They are out there It's the nurses. working as hard as they possibly could just because they got in that industry to do good

and help others.

So if there is absolutely anything I could do, and I'm sure I share that sentiment with the rest of my colleagues to help them, you know, I'll put my chips into a pot for that. There is absolutely nothing I wouldn't do to help that nursing industry. It's just a tough -- it's a very tough job to begin with and then when you are understaffed that full-heatedly, I know.

So again, they are going to have a rally on March 1 at 4 p.m. in front of CMC just to get the word out and just to get everybody's understanding of what they go through on a daily basis, and for those of you who may not be close to somebody that works in that field as a nurse just show up and kind of get their understanding of what they go through because they are there to help us and we should be there to help them when they need it. That's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a couple of brief

items. First, I had the honor of attending the South Side Neighborhood Association meeting last night. They did have some changes in their leadership. There are some new members that came on board. I'm working on a number of citizens' request that came out of that meeting, but it was very productive and I would like to thank our police chief Carl Graziano for attending as well as two of our beat officers who were very helpful with a number of citizen's issues, as well as a number of questions that came from members of association.

A number of the items I'm going to talk about were all mentioned by Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Sorry about that. I gave you a little bit of leeway last week. I let you go first.

MR. ROGAN: Unfortunately, it's a common theme, but I'm glad that we are in agreement, so I'd much rather be reinforcing the points that you're making than disagreeing. Regarding CMC, I agree wholeheartedly with the comments that were made. Unfortunately, over the last years to

two years I spent quite a bit of time in the CMC when my father was sick, and my grandmother, and I will have to say anyone who has been in the hospital or has a relative that has been in the hospital knows that the nurses do probably 90, 95 percent of the work that you see. Unfortunately, they are not compensated anywhere near as well as the doctors who are in and out in a two-minute brief visit and it's the nurses that are doing all of that care, and the idea of working 14 hours on your feet working with patients I would hate to be the last patient on a nurses 14-hour shift without a break.

So I would like to mention my support for the nurses, for their union, for everything they are pushing for and I also will try to attend that press conference if my schedule is available on March 1. This is the first I'm hearing of the press conference, but I do want to say that without nurses the medical field would not even exist. They really are the backbone of our hospital and they deserve a thank you

for everything they do to keep the people safe and to try and keep us healthy.

The double pension issue was brought up regarding our subpoenas at the podium,
Attorney Minora, I hate to put you on the spot, but would you be able to get an update on the double pension subpoenas?

MR. MINORA: I can. We have not received a briefing schedule or an argument schedule from the Court Administrator. I did call down, gosh, it might be a week and a half going or two weeks ago, and I didn't have an answer then, so I still have not received that.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. And for those in the audience and those that are watching, please don't think that this is a forgotten about issue. Attorney Minora can attest, and I feel that sometimes I'm annoying him with multiple calls per week asking the status of the --

MR. MINORA: Not at all. Not at all. Not at all.

MR. ROGAN: But it is something that is very important to this council. There

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are millions of dollars missing out of our pension funds because of this scandal and we are still working to do everything we can to get to the bottom of it, and I'll have much more to say on pensions in the coming weeks.

Also, brought up by Mr. Perry is the pre-arrest intervention program. Chief Graziano did brief on this quickly at a caucus a few weeks ago, and he actually did explain it a little bit more in-depth at the Neighborhood Association meeting that I attended last night, and it really is a great program to try to help out people who have made a mistake. It's not a program for repeat offenders. It's not a program for violent criminals. It is a program for first-time offenders and first-time offenders only. I think the chief said it was 80 percent of the property crimes within the City of Scranton are because of drug use or somehow related to drugs.

So I know there has been a number of efforts by the District Attorney's Office, by other groups really to crack down on drug use within our community and our police

20

21

22

23

24

25

chief is a very forward thinking individual and really there are only a few other cities in the United States that have this type program and I firmly believe people deserve a second chance. Now, a third and a fourth, I wouldn't go that far, but everyone makes a mistake and I certainly hate to see somebody's life ruined over a small possession charge or something of that It's just not fair and many times it's discriminatory, so this is program really will help the community. I am very excited, the chief is excited about it, and we are applying for this grant and he did say that even if we don't get the grant we going to look to do this program maybe in the smaller scale back version, but it is something that really can give people a chance to turn their life around if they made couple of mistakes.

And, again, I do want to reiterate because I know there are people out there that will say this, this is not for repeat offenders. Repeat offenders are going to be arrested, locked up and prosecuted to the

fullest extent of the law.

MR. PERRY: And not necessarily that this program wouldn't put someone in jail as well. This is a commitment to come clean.

So if they sign that commitment to come clean and they don't honor their end of the bargain, they are going to jail.

MR. ROGAN: And that's a great point that Mr. Perry brought up, it's not a get out of jail free card, but it is an opportunity. So, again, I think this is something that council is fully going to support and we are anxious to see this program get put in action.

The prescription savings legislation was also brought up tonight, we did have a caucus, brief caucus regarding this with some questions that members of council have had. The program, as was mentioned, is at no cost to the city. There will be savings and this firm will only receive compensation as a percentage of the savings. I think it's a good idea, actually think it's a great idea. My only concern, and it's not a concern with this legislation specifically,

but my only concern is that this is a function that could be or should be provided or paid for by our broker of record for our health insurance. That's something I think that needs to be used as a negotiating tool when that comes up. Because this is being done by an outside firm, the commission firm broker of record should be reduced, saving the taxpayers some money.

With that being said, I will vote

"yes" on this proposal. There is a chance
of substantial savings so I think we need to
save every penny we can so I will be voting

"yes" on that legislation.

regarding handicap signs within the city. I number of years ago an ordinance was passed that required people to register their handicapped signs. Now, the intention of the ordinance I do believe it was a good intention and the consequences haven't been exactly what we are looking for. The reason why this was instituted, and I will add for the record when this was voted on a number of years ago I did oppose it for a number of

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different grounds, but there are people who are receiving fines for not registering their handicapped signs. Again, it's a very small fee, but for a person who is handicapped to begin with and having difficulties getting around and being able to go to the police station and take care of this paperwork can sometimes be much more challenging for somebody who is handicapped than an able bodied person.

I hope this is something that the city can reconsider. There does have to be a way to track handicapped license plates within the city because you will it on some blocks where there are signs that are up for many years that aren't being used, so there does need to be a tracking system, but I firmly believe, as I have and when I voted against this fee, that charging a fee to handicapped residents in the city isn't something that we should be doing, and I'm hopeful that we can work with our chief and the Law Department to find another way to track these license -- handicapped placards without charging a fee. That is all. Thank •

you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I discussed the status of our rental registration program with Tom Oleski this morning. He is actually the point person for the program. This are the in the process of merging various lists and eliminating the duplicates. The plan is to get mailers out and bills out by March 1. That's where we are at with that.

Councilman Gaughan and myself attended a meeting today with Smart City Media. It's a very innovative program that's popping up all over the country, specifically Kansas City, Missouri, was one of the first to do it. They have kiosks that provide information, advertising opportunities for small businesses, upcoming events throughout the community. They are looking at possibly putting up to 80 screens downtown in the form of kiosks and attached to light poles. It may generate as much as \$158,000 per year for the City's coffers so

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's very positive and I think it will be positive all around and I know Councilman Gaughan will touch on that as well.

About the sewer meeting, first of all, I have to say I felt it was successful, the information we received and what we have been asking for all along and we did receive additional info which I requested to receive monthly reports an all of the expenditures and receivable the authority -- for the authority meeting in March and I asked that it be dated back to the closing date. That's something that I think Ms. Schumacher mentioned we would like to see everything from the closing date currently and then from a going forward basis in the beginning of March, but I'd like to give some perspective on why I went to the meeting in the first place. As someone who has spent 40 years in the private sector, working for a Fortune 500 country and as an independent contractor and a small business person. rarely see these things through a political prism so I think that was the case in this case. I looked at it simply as opportunity

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to get answers to our questions and in a timely manner and without delay.

And in being realistic in what that approach was, I felt it was wishful thinking that a Right-to-Know letter and subpoenas would actually not be met with ole's and I didn't even want to, at this point, try to create animosity with the new director without giving him a chance to get us the information that we wanted, and all of the questions were posed by all five councilmen.

Additionally, I felt that it was sort of wishful thinking Mr. Shrive would appear for a public caucus and, in fact, during our meeting I asked him point-blank and he declined, so contrary to popular belief we cannot just waive a magic wand and make someone appear before us. There is a process and not everybody wants to come before us for that type of public scrutiny.

Now, should he? Certainly, and I think President Wechsler mentioned before that we asked him and we just asked so that's all we could that.

Also, at the meeting I asked if the

press could come in, which he declined as well, but at the end of the day Councilman Wechsler and myself were in that meeting for answers and a framework for answers and I feel we achieved that goal and even if that means politically I have to take a public relations hit every now and then and maybe even get an occasional cartoon in the paper in the search for the information that we think is important and that's fine with me, I never really thought I was much of a politician anyway, so maybe that's a good thing.

On another matter, I would like to reinforce my opinion and positions on some issues that I feel may need some clarity because time has gone by on some of these issues and we are hear different things and sometimes it isn't exactly true on where we stand on a particular issue. On the expansion of the Keystone Landfill, I was the first councilman to bring this up to my colleagues and I continue to be on record against the expansion.

On the leachate line, I have

repeatedly and consistently been on the record as wanting to get this before a judge for a ruling. While I am disappointed that city council could not take a more active role in this, we made effort to prod the administration take a more active role and they did not, and we are hopeful that others that will take up that original stated goal and we support or I support their goals and those efforts.

On the Young property, the hole in the yard, I have had numerous conversations with the Youngs, in fact, I talked to Mr. Young last night. I had business sight and brokered a deal with the administration for the funding to solve the problem.

Sadly, it's still tied up with attorneys but not because of lack of effort from the city council.

And on the Air bnb issue, my
position has been the same right along, the
24-year zoning code needs to be rewritten
and replaced. There are too many uses like
this and others that didn't exist 24 years
ago and are silent in our code. It is my

opinion and the opinion of many others that when a use is not specifically addressed in the zoning code they have a better chance to be ultimately approved than denied, so a new zoning code would absolutely protect the neighbors far more than a dated ordinance in existence from 24 years. With that said, sometimes local issues get a little muddled and sometimes taken out of context so I think it's important once in awhile to reinform exactly on where we stand on those issues. That's all I have for tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Thank you. Just a few items. First of all, the 1700 block of Elizabeth Street had a couple of complaints about a foreclosed home there with windows that are broken and rental property issues so we did send that to the Licensing and Inspections Department.

Also, there is a continuing problem on Maple and Cedar Avenue with snowy and icy sidewalks and that is the main thoroughfare for the students at South Intermediate, very

dangerous situation. There is also a condemned home across the street from this school that I'd like the Licensing and Inspections Department to take a look at. Hopefully it's on the demolition list so we'll check that out.

The last few weeks as I have been attending the neighborhood meetings, really since I have been on council, the street sign issue has come up time and time again. We did each reach out to our DPW director and we actually do not keep an inventory of our street signs. I think that we need to make this a priority, whether we look for grant money to replace every faded and damaged street sign in the city. It is an major public safety issue.

One of the neighborhoods that I'd like to zero in on is the Hill section.

There is a major, major problem with the lack of street signs in the Hill, so we do need to address it. I think, and I would urge the DPW and the administration, to come up with a program, a way to inventory the signs that are being put out and that we

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

currently have because we do pay for them, the taxpayers pay for them, so I think that is important.

Onto the -- Mr. Evans had mentioned the meeting that took place with Mr. Shrive between Councilman Wechsler and Councilman Evans, and Councilman Evans mentioned that he wasn't looking at this through a political prism. I wasn't either, but I am still opposed to the way that that meeting went down for the simple fact that I was looking at it from a public service perspective. We are all public officials up here and I did not think it was appropriate for two councilmen to go and meet with the executive director of the Sewer Authority in a closed meeting in private without the press, even though I'll give Mr. Evans credit, he did invite the press and I don't think it was their intention to hide anything, but the appearance looks pretty terrible to me.

We all have questions and concerns about this deal and the fact that it wasn't in an open meeting and it wasn't able to be

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seen by the public and we all weren't able to ask questions is troubling to me, so I don't look at this issue as a politician, I look at it as a citizen, as somebody who represents the public and it should have been held in council chambers in a public caucus. Bottom line, end of story.

Onto the legal fees of the Sewer Authority, in my opinion they seem excessive and outrageous and I have additional questions that I would like answered based on the information that we received from Mr. Shrive. First of all, I would like all invoices in relation to the legal fees to see a description of the time and service that were rendered, and I would like to know what the hourly rates from each law firm or the lawyer that was involved. I'd also like to know are their legal costs -- are those legal costs inclusive of any fees related to the bond transaction, and what were the fees related to the bond transaction and who was the bond council on the deal?

Also, the \$1.6 million that was given to Buchanan, Ingersoll and Rooney, I'd

like to know if this was just a pay off for the firm or were there other attorneys who received a cut of this for services that they performed for Buchanan, Ingersoll and Rooney. I'd also like to know what role the city's special counsel, Ned Abrahamasen, played in the sewer deal and his firm, Abrahamsen, Conaboy and Abrahamsen. He received \$200,000. I'd like to know how much money that law firm has made from the city doing work from the city since January 1, 2014.

I have also posed numerous questions sent by myself on behalf of Mrs. Reed about the hiring of Mr. Shrive as the executive director and attorney for the Scranton Sewer Authority, and I would like those questions answered. The public has a right to know this information, and I know Mr. Evans and Mr. Wechsler met with Mr. Shrive. I just don't understand what the meeting was about. Answer the questions and just give us the information, and I think we all should have had an opportunity to be there and ask any questions that we are so passionate about.

So, again, I find these fees extraordinary, outrageous, I would like additional information on them, and I find them particularly extraordinary in light of the fact that, you know, these are fees that are coming from the seller and usually I think in these type of dealers the buyer has to do their due diligence and usually has the high costs, so I would like more information on this and hopefully we can receive this by next week. And that is all

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan.

I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Touching on the events of the meeting that we had on Friday and each person that proceeded me talked about how they approached it, I approached it as the president of the Scranton City Council. My job as president of Scranton City Council is to get questions answered for my colleagues and also get questions answered for the citizens. In January, the questions that were answered were prepared by all five councilmen. There were no

additional questions raised. We sent the letter, those were the questions that were asked by councilmen.

The reason why I wanted to have a meeting and sit down with Mr. Shrive is to make sure that all of the questions were answered. I asked Councilman Evans to come with me because he is the Finance Chair. We sat down with Mr. Shrive, went through the questions one by one, he answered to us verbally. We each took notes then we requested that all of his answers be put into writing, which he did.

We could have skipped the meeting if we thought that Director Shrive would have just mailed us the answers back. We sent the questions out in January to Attorney Conaboy, and if the letter was just returned to us with answers we would have no need to this meeting, but it was my job as president of the council I had to make sure those questions were going to get answered.

I did not agree with the route of going with a Freedom of Information Act because the clock would still be ticking on

that and we would have no answers. Same thing with the subpoenas, subpoenas are a long, drawn out process that we are seeing when we send subpoenas requesting information from the state police we still don't have an answer on that.

Yesterday afternoon we requested that the answers be provided to us by Wednesday. The answers were provided to us yesterday afternoon I think around 4:20. Mrs. Reed scanned them, sent them to all Councilmen as soon as they became available. As soon as they became available to me, I provided them to the Scranton Times so they could start ingesting the information and get it out there as soon as possible.

Later on in the evening, Attorney
Shrive sent me some further information that
I immediately distributed to all councilmen
and also distributed again to the Scranton
Times. Our role in this was to get the
answers, and as Mr. Evans said, we did take
a little bit of political heat, but I give
my comments to Mr. Cole because he drew a
slender Joe Wechsler, which I thought was

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very good.

As Mr. Gaughan said though, there is more questions from the information that we got and this is going to be an ongoing process with this. What you have to remember is that the Sewer Authority is still in existence and it has a director that's responsible for answering questions. On February 24 is their first meeting, it will be at 4 p.m. and for all council people, all citizens, all concerned parties that meeting is it open to the public and questions should be entertained. Back when the issue first came out with the easements, I attended the Scranton Sewer Authority meeting and asked questions, so it's not the responsibility of council, per se, to get these answers. We try to do it as best we can, but that does not relieve the Sewer Authority or Mr. Shrive from still having to answer questions from the public.

Like I said, I'm happy that we were able to get the answers. I wasn't sure how they were going to be presented to us, but we've got a lot of information that's been

put out there and it's a starting point now to get more satisfactory answers and responses from the Sewer Authority.

This evening we heard from the CMC nurses, they were here, I invited them to come and speak. I met with them a few weeks ago to understand what their situation is, and since my colleagues were anxious to support them I do have a letter that they had sent to us in preparing support for them so we will make that available for council to read if they are interested in doing a group letter or a separate letter, but we do have a letter prepared for that and get that out this week.

In regard to the double pension issue, we have had some contact with Solicitor Durkin and he is anticipating some answers on the resolution on that question within a few weeks.

In terms of what Mr. Gaughan brought up about with the street signs in the city, and we hear the same concerns, we spoke to Director Gallagher last week, we are waiting to see if we are going to get another

Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board grant.

Last year we used that to purchase stop signs, and I'm not sure if the cost involved with street signs, but this may be a good starting point for some funding at least to get an idea and put together a proposal to replace those signs, and I agree with you, Mr. Gaughan, that's an urgent issue.

This evening concerning the investigation in our pharmacy bills, I'd like to compliment Solicitor Boyles on this, I think she started this as she was in the HR director previously to this, and I congratulate her for bringing some outside of the box thinking to our city government. Mr. Evans constantly talks about, you know, the way that we do business and looking for new ideas and I would like to congratulate her for bringing this to us and even if we save \$500 it was well worth the effort that she put forward.

Each week our meeting starts off
with Ms. Hodowanitz wants talk with the
audit, so I have some information about the
audit here. There are some preliminary

dates coming out for audit. According to Business Administrator Dave Bulzoni, preliminary field work should be done the week of March 6. Rainey and Rainey hope to have the books closed on May 31. Final field work should be completed the week of June 5, and the issue of the financial statement should be June 30 so it's a very aggressive audit schedule than we had in the past and I congratulate everyone that's working on that.

This week PennDOT has entered into some agreements for some bridge work that's going to be done in the city. There has been agreement reached for bridge repair and construction on the West Lackawanna Avenue bridge, the Elm Street bridge, and the North Main Avenue bridge. These agreements will allow PennDOT to begin the design process. Preliminary estimated costs for design and construction is \$17,400,000. The city shares about 5 percent of this, which is \$870,000, which we all know how serious the bridge conditions are in our city and anything we could do repair that is well

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worth it. That's all I have.

MR. GAUGHAN: Can I make one additional point just to disagree with you for a second. I disagree with what Mr. Wechsler said in this respect, I think it is our responsibility to get these answers and these questions and I, you know, appreciate the fact that the Sewer Authority Board has meetings but we are the legislative body of the City of Scranton. shouldn't have go to a Sewer Authority Board meeting to get answers on anything. represent the taxpayers and the ratepayers of the city, as we all do, and quite frankly, this whole think is absurd. It is absolutely absurd. I can't even believe it.

And everybody I talk to when I go to these neighborhood meeting they bring their sewer bills in, their water bills in, so many people have been upset over this thing and to add insult to injury we still don't know how much storm water management is going to cost. You have two PUC judges say that that should have been a condition of the deal. We have no idea. I have asked

_ :

for months what is our storm water management plan? What procedures, what policies do we currently have in place? Crickets. Maybe they don't know, but nobody has told me so far, so I think it is our responsibility. I mean, someone has to question these things. It's stinks. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: The goal should be the answers, not the questions. If you sit here and wait for somebody to give you an answer, it's not going to come. You have to go to a meeting and you have to go to an office, if you have to call somebody on the phone, if you have to go to their house.

Our goal should be to get the answers and asking questions and not getting answers doesn't do any good. We got the answers.

We got the answers. That's the bottom line on it.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY
FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND IF
SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE

FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE BJA FY 17
EDWARD BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT
("JAG") PROGRAM SMART POLICING INITIATIVE IN
THE AMOUNT OF \$571,847.00 TO IMPLEMENT THE
ALTERNATIVE TO ARRESTING ADDICTS PROGRAM.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I just want to say that I am in full support of this program and I commend Chief Graziano and the Scranton Police Department for having the foresight to get involved with something as innovative, something that's as collaborative as this program is and I think it's going to be a major solution to the City of Scranton's drug problem. As I was looking through the backup, some of the things that stick out to me, some of the statistics that were included, the United States makes up only 4.6 six percent of the world's population, but it consumes 80

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent of it's opioids. Opioids cause 58 percent of overdose deaths in Lackawanna County. 2010 and 2011, there was a 225 percent increase in the total number of overdose deaths, so I think that this program will save lives through prevention of further drug abuse and I think in particular, what's particularly noteworthy, is the fact that they are going to collaborate with the University of Scranton on a lot of the research. So this isn't just going to be a shot in the dark, we are actually going to be able to look at specific hard data and I think we are going to be able to use those statistical techniques to analyze past and current police reports that clarify the nature of the drug problem and the crime problems in the City of Scranton and they are going to be report those findings to the Scranton Police Department.

I have known people that have had a drug problem, friends of mine who have went to jail and it doesn't work because they go to jail and then they get out and they can't

The

1 get a job and they still have a drug 2 problem, so I think this initiative will try 3 to help them recover from that, put them on a different path and I support that 100 4 5 percent. Thank you. MR. EVANS: On the question, I 6 7 couldn't agree more. Hopefully this will 8 become a model for other communities to 9 follow, but more than that I think we've all 10 been impacted by this, you know, I stood with my best friend, one of my best friends 11 12 as we buried his daughter, and so we have 13 all been extremely effected by this and I 14 think it's going to very, very important that we get ourselves involved. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of 17 introduction signify by saying aye. 18 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 20 MR. EVANS: Aye. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 23 ayes have it and so moved. 24 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 25 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

1	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
2	ENTER INTO AN ACADEMIC COOPERATION AGREEMENT
3	BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON
4	("UNIVERSITY") AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON
5	("CITY") TO ENCOURAGE ACTIVITIES,
6	EXPERIENCES AND PROCESSES THAT FACILITATE
7	GREATER UNDERSTANDING AND APPRECIATION OF
8	CRIME PREVENTION AND POLICING IN THE CITY OF
9	SCRANTON.
10	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
12	introduced into its proper committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. EVANS: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
25	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF OM TIMSINA,

1	2105 CROWN AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
2	18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE HUMAN RELATIONS
3	COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 13, 2017. MR.
4	TIMSINA WILL BE REPLACING ALEXANDER LESTER
5	WHO RESIGNED FROM THE BOARD ON OCTOBER 16,
6	2016. MR. TIMSINA WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED
7	TERM OF ALEXANDER LESTER THAT IS SCHEDULED
8	TO EXPIRE ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2018.
9	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. EVANS: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
15	those in favor of introduction signify by
16	saying aye.
17	MR. PERRY: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. EVANS: Aye.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
22	ayes have it and so moved.
23	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
24	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF LARRY J.
25	BOCCADORI, 2130 FARR STREET, APARTMENT #2

1	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF
2	THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY
3	EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 8, 2017. MR. BOCCADORI
4	WILL BE REPLACING STUART RENDA WHO RESIGNED
5	JANUARY 20, 2017. MR. BOCCADORI WILL FILL
6	THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF STUART RENDA, WHOSE
7	TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31,
8	2017.
9	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. EVANS: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? On
15	the question, both candidates have submitted
16	letters of interest to council.
17	All those in favor of introduction
	ATT CHOSE THE TAVOL OF THE TOUGHT CHOIL
18	signify by saying aye.
18 19	
	signify by saying aye.
19	signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye.
19 20	signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19 20 21	signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye.
19 20 21 22	signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

1	A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION
2	OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD
3	("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF
4	APPROPRIATENESS TO ALLOW EMERGENCY REPAIR
5	WORK FOR TEMPORARY REMOVAL AND BUILDING IN
6	KIND OF SECTIONS OF THE PARAPET AT SCRANTON
7	FIRE STATION HEADQUARTERS, 518 MULBERRY ST.,
8	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
9	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. EVANS: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
15	those in favor of introduction signify by
16	saying aye.
17	
	MR. PERRY: Aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18 19	
	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye.
19 20	MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
19 20 21	MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
19 20 21 22	MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

1	REMOVAL OF THREE (3) EXISTING SIGNS IN FRONT
2	OF 637-639 MADISON AVENUE AND INSTALLATION
3	OF AN R7-302 NO PARKING SYMBOL/ARROW SIGN
4	(RIGHT) AND THREE (3) R7-3-1 NO PARKING
5	RESTRICTED DAYS SIGNS WITH R-8-3HP-1 NO
6	PARKING RESTRICTED HOURS PLAQUE MOUNTED
7	DIRECTLY BELOW THE R7-3-1 ALONG THE WESTERLY
8	CURBLINE OF MADISON AVENUE, WITH FINAL
9	LOCATIONS TO BE DETERMINED IN FIELD FROM
10	R7-302 SIGN TO SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF
11	PROPERTY LINE OF HEAD START.
12	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
13	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
14	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
15	pass reading by title.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MR. PERRY: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. EVANS: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.
25	MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -

1 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 88, 2017 - AN 2 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 3 126, 2015, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL 4 CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2016" BY TRANSFERRING \$3,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.040.00040.6009 5 (DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION -6 BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION OPR TSFR-WORKERS 7 8 COMP) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.020.00000.4210 9 (OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK/CITY COUNCIL - SVC AND MAINTENANCE FEE) TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT 10 11 FUNDING FOR SERVICE AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT 12 THROUGH THE 2016 BUDGET PERIOD. 13 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 14 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 15 16 pass reading by title. 17 MR. EVANS: Second. 18 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 19 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 20 21 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 22 MR. EVANS: Aye. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 25 ayes have it and so moved.

1	MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
2	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 89, 2017 - AN
3	ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
4	126, 2015, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL
5	CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2016" BY TRANSFERRING
6	\$50,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
7	01.040.00040.6009 (DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS
8	ADMINISTRATION - BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
9	OPR TSFR-WORKERS COMP) TO ACCOUNT NO.
10	01.060.00000.4201 (LAW
11	DEPARTMENT-PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ACCOUNT) TO
12	PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR REMAINING
13	PROFESSIONAL SERVICE BILLS THROUGH THE 2016
14	BUDGET PERIOD.
15	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
16	by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
17	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
18	pass reading by title.
19	MR. EVANS: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
21	those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	MR. PERRY: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. EVANS: Aye.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

1	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
2	ayes have it and so moved.
3	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
4	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
5	WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL
6	NO. 86, 2017 – AN ORDINANCE – CLOSING AND
7	VACATING PORTIONS OF S. IRVING AVENUE AND
8	CROWN AVENUE, BETWEEN SAGINAW STREET AND
9	CONNELL STREET.
10	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Public Works?
13	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-A.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
18	Roll call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
	11

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
4	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
5	adopted.
6	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
7	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS- FOR ADOPTION
8	- RESOLUTION NO. 113, 2017- AUTHORIZING THE
9	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
10	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A SUPPLEMENTAL
11	REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT NO. 041222-F WITH
12	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE
13	PURPOSE OF INCREASING CONSTRUCTION FUNDING
14	FOR THE ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT.
15	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Public Works?
18	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
19	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
20	passage of Item 7-B.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
23	Roll call, please?
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
25	MR. PERRY: Yes.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 3 4 MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 8 I hereby 9 declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully 10 adopted. MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 11 THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -12 RESOLUTION NO. 114, 2017 - APPOINTMENT OF 13 14 DANIELLE E. KENNEDY, 1034 WOODLAWN STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO THE POSITION OF 15 DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES/ASSISTANT 16 17 BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 6, 18 2017. MS. KENNEDY WILL BE REPLACING JESSICA L. BOYLES, ESQUIRE WHO TOOK ANOTHER POSITION 19 WITH THE CITY. 20 21 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 22 the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 23 passage of Item 7-C. 24 MR. ROGAN: Second. 25 MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

1 Roll call, please? 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 3 MR. PERRY: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 12 declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully 13 adopted. 14 MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -15 16 RESOLUTION NO. 115, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE 17 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 18 TO ENTER INTO A MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT BY 19 AND BETWEEN REMEDY ANALYTICS, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION ("REMEDY") AND THE CITY 20 21 OF SCRANTON ("CLIENT") TO AID THE CITY IN ANALYZING CLAIMS DATA AND NEGOTIATING 22 CONTRACTUAL LANGUAGE FOR THE CITY'S PHARMACY 23 24 BENEFITS MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE PURPOSE

OF ACHIEVING SAVINGS ON PHARMACY BENEFITS.

25

1	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
2	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7-D.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
6	MR. PERRY: Yes, just on the
7	question, something I didn't add before in
8	motions, I made a request for the cost
9	savings on an ongoing basis so we can
10	
	measure the impact off this company, in
11	order to determine if we want to continue to
12	services in the future.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Roll
14	call, please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
16	MR. PERRY: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
20	MR. EVANS: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
25	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully

adopted. If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. Meeting adjourned. MR. WECHSLER:

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER